

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasotra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/523,696	08/02/2005	Gerhard Schinzel-Reiner	2732-160	8531	
7590 ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C. 1425 K STREET, N.W.			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			CICCHINO, PATRICK D		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
11101111101011,50 2000			3653	•	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/18/2008	ELECTRONIC .	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTO-PAT-Email@rfem.com

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/523 696 SCHINZEL-REINER ET AL Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Patrick D. Cicchino 3653 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 April 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/523,696 Page 2

Art Unit: 3653

#### DETAILED ACTION

In response to the Amendment filed on April 30, 2008, claims 1-14 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3-7 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gerlier (US Pat No 5,140,166).

Claims 1, 3-7 and 13 are rejected as stated in the office action dated 12/31/2007.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 10, 11 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gerlier in view of Ek (US Pat No 5.755.437).

Claims 2, 10, 11, and 14 are rejected as stated in the office action dated 12/31/2007.

Claims 8, 9 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gerlier in view of Craft (US Pat No 3.918.706).

Art Unit: 3653

Claims 8, 9, and 12 are rejected as stated in the office action dated 12/31/2007.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 4/30/2008 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive.

In response to the applicant's argument that Gerlier fails to disclose the detection of the

alignment of the sheet during aligning:

Gerlier discloses two sets of light sensors (50 and 46) disposed before and after the

aligning means (as shown in figure 6). The operation of the two sets of the light sensors is

disclosed in column 9, lines 9-68, where it is described that the second light sensor is used to

check the alignment after the aligning means has begun to align the sheet as well as while the

sheet is being aligned.

In response to the applicant's argument that the combination used in claims 2, 10, 11 and

14, as well as the combination used in claims 8, 9 and 12 fail to disclose the alignment is

detected during the aligning step and terminated as soon as the desired alignment is achieved:

Gerlier discloses two sets of light sensors (50 and 46) disposed before and after the

aligning means (as shown in figure 6). The operation of the two sets of the light sensors is

disclosed in column 9, lines 9-68, where it is described that the second light sensor is used to

check the alignment after the aligning means has begun to align the sheet as well as while the

sheet is being aligned. Further, Gerlier discloses that once the desired alignment is achieved, that

the stepping motors drive in a uniform matter in which conveys the sheet until the second

transportation device continues the conveying operation (as stated in column 9, lines 57-68).

Art Unit: 3653

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick D. Cicchino whose telephone number is (571)270-1954. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00-5:30 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Mackey can be reached on (571) 272-6916. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3653

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/P. D. C./ Examiner, Art Unit 3653 8/11/2008 /Patrick H. Mackey/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3653